MEMORANDUM

TO: Development Review Committee

FROM: William J. Ammons, Planner

Andrew Omer Trivette,

Sr. Administrator for Environmental Projects

18 23 COUNTY IN THE PARTY OF TH

We strive to be friendly, professional and fair

RE: Amendment to a Minor Conditional Use

DATE: August 15, 2006

1 2	I	MEETIN	IG DATE:	August 22, 2006
3	II	REQUES	ST:	
4				
5		A.	Proposal:	
6			Marr Prop	erties is requesting an amendment to a minor
7			conditiona	use at the Marr Properties site located at
8			99900 Ove	rseas Highway. The project is for the
9			demolition	and replacement of existing structures to
10			include the	rebuilding of 9,960 square feet of
11			commercia	l retail and office space.
12				
13		B.	Location:	
14			1. The	property is located in Key Largo at Mile Marker 99.9.
15			2. The	address is 99900 Overseas Highway.
16			3. Leg	gal Description:
17			Isla	nd of Key Largo, PT LOT 42 & PT OF RIGHT OF
18			\mathbf{W}_{A}	AY ST RD 5
19			4. The	e RE number is 00087700.000000.
20				
21		C.	Applicant:	
22			1. Ow	ner: (Stuart Marr) Marr 100 Family, Ltd.
23			2. Ag	ent: (Joel Reed) Reed & Company Development
24				Services, Inc.
25				
26	III	PROCES		
27		The n	roject will b	e carried out in three primary phases. The reason for such

The project will be carried out in three primary phases. The reason for such is due to the need to await NROGO allocations in order to acquire the square footage necessary to redevelop the site with an additional 7,327 square feet in addition to the existing 2,633 square feet on site to finish with a total development consisting

28 29

of 9,960 commercial square feet. Construction is anticipated to begin in March 2007 and commence by July of 2008.

The site currently consists of 2,633 square feet of Commercial, Non-Residential Floor Area buildings containing a real estate and leasing office. The proposal is to demolish the existing 2,633 square feet and construct 9,960 square feet of commercial retail space in three (3) buildings. It is anticipated that there will be a variety of commercial retail end users (i.e., offices, shops, eating and drinking). A maximum of 1,000 square feet will be dedicated to an eating/drinking facility; the other 8,999 square feet will be split between offices, and commercial retail space of medium intensity.

IV PRIOR COUNTY ACTIONS:

Settlement Agreement, Case No. APP-94-031, Department of Community Affairs, Petitioner, vs. Coconut Grove Park Trustee, William Manwaring Wilson, and Monroe County, Respondent

1. On February 18, 1994, Monroe County issued to the Developer, as owner and general contractor, a building permit, numbered 9330011968, for construction of a commercial addition on the property.

2. On April 8, 1994, the Department timely appealed the building permit to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission, alleging that the permit was not in compliance with applicable provisions of the Monroe County Land Development Regulations and Comprehensive Plan. The subject property is located within the Florida Keys Area of Critical State Concern, as designed under Sections 380.05 and 380.0552, Florida Statutes.

Resolution No. 174-1994 was signed on June 16, 1994 whereas Mr. Wilson, Coconut Grove Park Trust, the DCA and Monroe County agree to the following:

1. All access to US 1 from the property shall be prohibited. The plans for the project will be amended to delete any curb cuts on US 1 to the property and the developer shall gain ingress and egress to the property other than from US 1, consistent with all applicable codes and ordinances. However, in the future, the developer may apply for curb cuts on US 1 if the Monroe County Land Development Regulations are amended to allow such curb cuts; and

Monroe County shall not issue any additional development orders to the property until this appeal is dismissed.

V BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

A. Size of Site: 52,223 square feet or approximately 1.19 acres

1	B.	Land use District: Sub Urban Commercial (SC)
2	C.	Future Land Use Designation: Mixed Use Commercial (MC)
3	D.	Proposed Tier Designation: Tier III - Infill Area
4	E.	Existing Vegetation / Habitat:
5		The rear of the property is hardwood hammock. The remainder of this site
6		is disturbed with both exotic and native landscaping. A row of native trees
7		have been planted along the front and are maintained as small trees. Silver
8		Buttonwood is planted on the highway side of the row of native trees and
9		is being maintained as small individual bushes. A clump of exotic and
10		native vegetation is located at the intersection of Sunset Boulevard and
11		East Shore Drive, at the base of a power pole. Hammock is growing along
12		the rear portion of this site, behind the present buildings.
13	F.	Community Character of Immediate Vicinity:
14		The community character of the immediate vicinity is a mix of
15		commercial, residential and public uses.
16		Public designation of the second seco
17	VI REVIEW	OF APPLICATION and FINDINGS OF FACT
18	, = ===,	
19	Major issues	of concern with the application:
20	.	Tr the transfer of the transfe
21	This is an An	nendment to a Minor Conditional Use. The standard for review is Section
22	9.5-65.	
23		
24	A.	Consistent with the purposes, goals, objectives and standards of the
25		comprehensive plan and the land development regulations.
26		
27		1. Purpose of the Land Use and Future Land Use Districts:
28		Sec. 9.5-206. Purpose of the Sub Urban Commercial District (SC)
29		is to establish areas for commercial uses designed and intended
30		primarily to serve the needs of the immediate planning area in
31		which they are located. This district should be established at
32		locations convenient and accessible to residential areas without use
33		of US 1.
34		
35		2. Specific Goals and Objectives of the Plan:
36		The proposed development does not conflict with the Monroe
37		County Year 2010 Comprehensive Plan.
38		
39	B.	Consistent with the community character of the immediate vicinity.
40	2.	The community character of the immediate vicinity is a mix of residential
41		and commercial uses.
42		W.W. COMMINITORNIA WOODS
43	C.	Minimizes adverse effects on adjacent properties.
44	.	The project minimizes the adverse effects on adjacent properties.
45		r - J
46	D.	No adverse impact on the value of surrounding properties.

1				idence the project will have an adverse impact on the value
2		of su	rounding	properties.
3	Г	4 1	. 11	
4	E.	Adeq	uate publi	c facilities and services:
5		1	D 1	
6		1.	Roads:	I and the discount and access many many
7				Localized impacts and access management:
8				The proposed development is estimated to generate 332
9				new daily trips to the transportation network. US 1 through
10				the "Key Largo" segment have excess capacity to absorb
11				the maximum impacts generated by this project. Therefore
12 13				the proposed development will not have an adverse effect
13				on the operating characteristics of US 1 through the "Key
14				Largo" segment, nor will it inhibit the safe flow of traffic
15 16				traveling through Key Largo.
16 17			b. :	Major road Lavel of Service
18			•	<u>Major road Level of Service:</u> A Level Two Traffic Impact Report was prepared by Carter
19				& Burgess, Inc. Based upon a 50%/50% trip distribution,
20				the project will add a maximum of 166 daily trips to
21				Segment Number 23 (Key Largo) located immediately
				adjacent to the project. According to Monroe County's
22				Level of Service and Reserve Capacity Table, Segment
23				Number 23 has 8,446 trips of reserve capacity. Therefore,
22 23 24 25 26				US 1 through the "Key Largo" segment has excess capacity
26				to absorb the maximum impacts generated by the
27				Commercial Development for the project.
28				Commercial Development for the project.
29		2.	Stormw	rater:
30		2.		eviewed by County engineer. Impervious area calculations
31				ale locations shown on plans. Stormwater calculations for
32				etention (cubic volume) are not shown on plans.
33			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	volution (cuesto volutio) use not one will on primite
34		3.	Sewer:	
35		٥.		onroe County Health Department is permitting authority for
36				isting establishments that produce domestic wastewater
37			flows	less than or equal to 10,000 gallons per day, and
38			new/exi	isting establishments producing commercial wastewater
39				flows of less than or equal to 50,000 gallons per day.
40				shments that exceed these estimated flows are regulated by
41				rida Department of Environmental Protection.
42				-
43		4.	Emerge	ncy Management:
14			_	of coordination was sent from the Fire Marshall dated May

determine compliance with 9.5-69.

3, 2006. The requests of the Fire Marshall shall be met to

- F. Applicant is financially able to complete the project. **In Compliance**
- G. Archaeological, historical, or cultural impact: There is no significant impact
- H. Preservation of public access to public beaches or other waterfront areas: In Compliance
- I. Complies with all additional standards.

1. Density:

The site has the density to support an eating and drinking establishment of either medium or high intensity. The intensity will need to be provided when the applicant applies for a building permit.

Size of Site: 52,223 sq. ft.

Building "A"	3,920 sq. ft.
Building "B"	2,120 sq. ft.
Building "C"	3,920 sq. ft.
Total	9,960 sq. ft.

High Intensity	Site Size	F.A.R.	Max.	Proposed	Percent
Eating &					
Drinking	52,223	0.25	13,055.75	1,000	7.66%
Commercial	52,223	0.25	13,055.75	7,960	60.97%
Office	52,223	0.40	20,889.20	1,000	4.79%
Total				9,960	73.42%

Medium					
Intensity	Site Size	F.A.R.	Max.	Proposed	Percent
Eating &					
Drinking	52,223	0.15	7,833.45	1,000	12.77%
Commercial	52,223	0.25	13,055.75	7,960	60.97%
Office	52,223	0.40	20,889.20	1,000	4.79%
Total				9,960	78.52%

2. <u>Open Space</u>:

Twenty percent on disturbed portion is required. Hammock portion can not be determined at this time.

3. <u>Landscaping</u>:

 According to existing conditions map page # 274 there is both disturbed area (Code 740) and hammock (Code 426) along the west and northern portion of the lot. Applicant needs to provide hammock area calculations, proposed clearing calculations and a mitigation schedule. Disturbed portions shall be used maximum potential before development occurs in more sensitive areas. The dumpster needs to be relocated to a less environmentally sensitive area and preserve to the most extent practicable all flagged Wild Lime, Lancewood, Milkbark, Soldierwood and Cinnamonbark.

Adjoining district boundary bufferyard Class "D" IS→SC, Class "C" Major street bufferyard and Class "A" Parking lot landscaping shown on plans.

4. <u>Shared Parking Calculations</u>:

With the shared parking requirement, the entire site requires 36 parking spaces.

	Weekday		Weekday		Weekend		
	9an	9am-6pm		6pm-12am		9ат-6рт	
Specific Use Category	SPF	Spaces	SPF	Spaces	SPF	Spaces	
Eating & Drinking	0.4	5.6	1	14	0.8	11.2	
Commercial	0.6	14.3	0.9	21.5	1	23.88	
Office	1	3	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.3	
Total		22.9		35.8		35.4	

SPF = Shared Parking Factor

5. <u>Minimum yards:</u> In Compliance

6. NROGO:

The proposal is to demolish the existing 2,633 square feet of Commercial, Non-Residential Floor Area building containing a real estate and leasing office then to construct 9,960 square feet of commercial retail space in three (3) buildings. Therefore, an additional 7,366 square feet of NROGO would be needed to complete the proposed project.

7. Access:

The site plan indicates that there will be one (1) access drive along Sunset Boulevard. The access drive and curb cuts require approval from the County Engineer.

8. Letters of Coordination:

The applicant **has submitted** and/or received letters from the following stating that a request for a Letter of Coordination has been submitted. These letters **do not constitute final approval**.

1			a.	Monroe County Health Department dated 4/25/06
2				requesting that all required documents be submitted for
3				approval.
4			b.	Florida Keys Electric Cooperative Association, IncFKEC
5				dated 4/24/06 requesting three sets of plans including
6				complete electrical load calculations for the proposed
7				project.
8			c.	Monroe County Fire Rescue dated 5/3/06 with stated
9				conditions for approval.
10			d.	Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority dated 5/9/06 requesting a
11				complete set of Architectural plans be submitted for
12				review.
13				pplicant has not submitted evidence of application for a
14			Letter	of Coordination for the following required agencies:
15			a.	South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD)
16			b.	Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP)
17	X / X X	DECC		ACTIVON
18	VII.	RECC	<u>OMMENDED</u>	ACTION
19	D1	C4-C	Y 1	that the fall and a sea different action had to the Amendment
20				that the following conditions be attached to the Amendment
21 22	to a w	illor Co	onditional Use l	Permit.
23		1.	Applicant sh	all provide hammock area calculations, proposed clearing
24		1.		and a mitigation schedule Plan prior to the issuance of a
25			development	-
26			ucvelopilient	oluci.
27		2.	Applicant sha	all update and provide a revised Landscape Plan prior to the
28		2.		development order.
29			issuance of a	development order.
30		3.	Applicant sha	all update and provide a revised Drainage Plan prior to the
31		٥.		development order.
32			issuance of a	development order.
33		4.	Applicant sha	all submit a revised site plan showing the relocated dumpster
34		٦.		ironmentally sensitive area and preserve to the most extent
35				Il flagged Wild Lime, Lancewood, Milkbark, Soldierwood
36				nbark prior to the issuance of a development order.
37			and Cilmanio	mourk prior to the issuance of a development order.
38		5.	All access to	US 1 from the property shall be prohibited. The applicant
39		٥.		approval from the County Engineer for the curb cuts and
40				on Sunset Blvd. prior to the issuance of any building permits.
41			access arrive c	in Sanset Diva. prior to the issuance of the ounting permits.
42		6.	Applicant sha	all provide sixteen (16) copies of the updated blue prints with
14		0.	rippineant sna	in provide divident (10) copies of the apathed office prints with

new site configuration prior to the issuance of a development order.

issuance of a development order.

Applicant shall provide sixteen (16) copies of the survey prior to the

7.

43 44

45

1
1
\sim
,

5 6

7 8

9 10 11

12 13

14 15 16

17

18 19

20 21 22

23 24 25

26 27

28 29

30

8. Applicant shall provide outdoor lighting location, type, power and height on the site plan submitted for a building permit.

9. Applicant shall provide existing and proposed fire hydrants or fire wells location on the site plan submitted for a building permit.

10. Applicant shall provide typed name and address mailing labels of property owners within a 300 feet radius of the subject property.

- Applicant shall receive 7,366 square feet of NROGO prior to issuance of 11. a building permit.
- 12. Applicant shall receive the following letters of coordination prior to the issuance of a building permit:
 - a. South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD)
 - Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) b.

VIII RECOMMENDATIONS

- A. Staff Recommends approval to the Development Review Committee if all the above conditions are met.
- Development Review Committee Recommendation to the Planning В. Director: To be determined at the August 22, 2006 DRC meeting.

PLANS REVIEWED IX

Sheet #	Title	By	Date	Received
A-1	Site Plan	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06
L-1	Landscaping Site Plan	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06
C-1	Civils Site Plan	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06
A-2	Building "A" First Floor Plan	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06
A-3	Building "A" Second Floor Plan	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06
A-4	Building "A" Elevations	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06
A-5	Building "C" Floor Plan	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06
A-6	Building "C" Elevations	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06
A-7	Building "B" Floor Plans & Elevations	Robert Barnes	4/27/06	6/30/06